

Nebo School District  
2012-2013  
Utah Consolidated Application

**CRT and AYP**

**Nebo School District Language Arts Longitudinal Data**

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
District	83	83	83	81	83	84	85	85
State	79	79	80	81	81	82	84	84

**Nebo School District Mathematics Longitudinal Data**

	2006	2007	2008	2009 (new cut)	2010	2011	2012	2013
District	81	79	79	74	73	73	71	78
State	74	73	73	68	68	69	69	73

2012, 2013: The new Utah Core Standards have created volatility in Math participation

**Nebo School District Science Longitudinal Data**

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
District	71	70	71	71	75	74	76	76
State	65	66	66	67	70	70	72	73

Source: USOE data display

## Demographic Categories--CRT 2013

Percent proficient

	Language Arts		Mathematics		Science	
	<i>Nebo</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Nebo</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Nebo</i>	<i>State</i>
All Students	85%	84%	78%	73%	76%	73%
African American	73%	66%	59%	50%	59%	46%
American Indian	81%	64%	62%	48%	61%	45%
Asian	81%	82%	79%	75%	74%	69%
Caucasian	87%	88%	80%	77%	80%	79%
Hispanic	65.6%	68.0%	57.7%	55%	48.7%	48%
Pacific Islander	87%	85%	82%	73%	81%	75%
Unknown or Unspecified Ethnicity	80%	73%	68%	61%	71%	50%
Female	88%	87%	78%	73%	76%	71%
Male	81%	81%	77%	72%	77%	74%
Econ Disadv	76%	74%	69%	62%	66%	59%
Not Econ Dis	91%	90%	84%	79%	84%	81%
SWD	58%	55%	56%	48%	50%	46%
Not SWD	90%	88%	83%	77%	81%	77%
Mobile	69%	69%	63%	55%	54%	52%
Not Mobile	85%	85%	78%	74%	77%	74%
ELL	23%	34%	29%	32%	11%	18%
Not ELL	86%	86%	79%	75%	77%	75%

Source: USOE data display (click on "2012 CRT by Percentage and Number Proficient")

### Third grade reading test

IOWA Reading test--Spring Administration  
*Percent reading on grade level--Third grade*

	2008	2009	2010
Nebo	81%	82%	81%
State	78%	78%	78%

Source: Iowa District summary reports--above 30 NPR is considered on grade level  
DIBELS is a reading test that measures speed and accuracy only.

	2011	2012	2013
Nebo	68%	82%	83%
State		76%	

2011-DIBELS

2012--3rd Grade CRT

2013--DIBELS Next--End of Year

### USOE mid year assessment--Grades 1-3

DIBELS Mid Year Assessment--January 2011

	1st grade	2nd grade	3rd grade
Nebo	71%	68%	72%
State	70%	71%	73%

Source: SQL query of students in Nebo data base

DIBELS Mid Year Assessment--January 2012

*Percent reading on grade level*

	1st grade	2nd grade	3rd grade
Nebo	72%	72%	72%
State	68%	70%	71%

Source: SQL query of students in Nebo data base

DIBELS Mid Year Assessment--January 2013

*Percent reading on grade level*

	1st grade	2nd grade	3rd grade
Nebo	82%	82%	82%
State			

Source: SQL query of students in Nebo data base  
Measurement instrument change from 2012

## Nebo Elementary Reading Benchmarks

Spring 2013

	whole school w/o kindergarten	First grade %	2nd grade %	3rd grade %	4th grade %	5th grade %	6th grade %
Art City	74%	65%	91%	70%	65%	67%	87%
Barnett	80%	78%	89%	76%	73%	88%	77%
Brockbank	68%	61%	74%	58%	68%	79%	73%
Brookside	79%	83%	84%	66%	68%	87%	86%
Canyon	78%	85%	83%	70%	71%	82%	78%
Cherry Creek	74%	86%	81%	53%	71%	82%	63%
East Meadows	80%	88%	83%	83%	69%	74%	82%
Foothills	77%	84%	82%	83%	73%	72%	73%
Goshen	73%	88%	87%	49%	67%	74%	76%
Hobble Creek	76%	93%	90%	61%	58%	65%	87%
Larsen	61%	79%	69%	49%	53%	54%	65%
Mapleton	64%	82%	86%	56%	50%	51%	66%
Mt. Loafer	81%	98%	84%	72%	73%	71%	92%
Orchard Hills	70%	66%	75%	67%	64%	72%	74%
Park	61%	73%	67%	53%	58%	47%	66%
Park View	77%	84%	74%	80%	73%	67%	83%
Rees	70%	85%	76%	71%	55%	68%	59%
Riverview	69%	79%	74%	65%	60%	71%	64%
Sage Creek	79%	91%	83%	73%	79%	75%	73%
Salem	76%	84%	97%	58%	57%	80%	74%
Santaquin	63%	92%	79%	51%	43%	54%	66%
Sierra Bonita	80%	84%	92%	79%	79%	68%	70%
Spanish Oaks	80%	94%	69%	81%	72%	80%	85%
Spring Lake	72%	85%	74%	76%	56%	70%	72%
Taylor	66%	86%	68%	57%	56%	55%	74%
Westside	67%	75%	73%	66%	50%	63%	70%
Wilson	68%	68%	79%	64%	60%	58%	78%
2013 District Total	73%	82%	80%	67%	64%	69%	78%
2012 District Total	73%	83%	80%	67%	64%	70%	77%
2011 District Total	71%	81%	78%	62%	61%	65%	75%

## Degrees of Reading Powers test

### Jr. High Data

Percent of students proficient (at 5th stanine on DRP)

2013

	All Jr High		7th grade		8th grade		9th grade	
	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring
Nebo Ave	72%	79%	66%	78%	73%	78%	76%	80%
DFJHS	71%	78%	72%	79%	68%	76%	74%	80%
MJHS	79%	86%	71%	86%	83%	88%	81%	83%
MNJHS	58%	65%	53%	65%	59%	65%	62%	66%
PJHS	70%	77%	65%	74%	70%	75%	74%	80%
SaJHS	78%	86%	66%	78%	82%	90%	85%	89%
SFJHS	71%	78%	65%	80%	74%	77%	75%	78%
SJHS	70%	76%	65%	76%	69%	72%	74%	80%

Percent of students proficient (at 5th stanine on DRP)

2012

	All Jr High		7th grade		8th grade		9th grade	
	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring
Nebo Ave	72%	80%	64%	79%	73%	79%	79%	82%
DFJHS	66%	78%	62%	77%	66%	75%	72%	82%
MJHS	81%	86%	75%	85%	82%	86%	87%	88%
MNJHS	68%	72%	56%	68%	75%	73%	73%	76%
PJHS	70%	78%	62%	78%	70%	77%	79%	79%
SFJHS	75%	86%	66%	85%	74%	86%	85%	88%
SJHS	70%	78%	61%	79%	73%	78%	75%	77%

Percent of students proficient (at 5th stanine on DRP)

2011

	All Jr High		7th grade		8th grade		9th grade	
	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring
Nebo Ave	72%	80%	65%	77%	76%	82%	75%	82%
DFJHS	68%	78%	61%	73%	71%	78%	87%	90%
MJHS	81%	88%	71%	86%	85%	89%	70%	74%
MNJHS	67%	73%	65%	73%	67%	71%	71%	78%
PJHS	68%	77%	58%	72%	73%	81%	80%	85%
SFJHS	75%	83%	65%	79%	81%	87%	69%	79%
SJHS	71%	81%	69%	81%	74%	83%	70%	75%

2010

	All Jr High		7th grade		8th grade		9th grade	
	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring
Nebo Ave	68%	80%	63%	80%	69%	81%	72%	80%
DFJHS	66%	79%	59%	77%	69%	80%	72%	83%
MJHS	80%	90%	77%	91%	79%	90%	83%	86%
MNJHS	57%	76%	54%	76%	57%	75%	60%	76%
PJHS	65%	78%	56%	73%	69%	83%	71%	80%
SFJHS	67%	81%	63%	83%	68%	78%	71%	82%
SJHS	69%	77%	70%	80%	66%	77%	70%	75%

## High School Data

*Percent of students proficient (at 5th stanine on DRP)*

2012

Grade	All	10	11	12
Test	Fall	Fall	Fall	Fall
Nebo Ave	78%	76%	78%	81%
Landmark	42%		32%	52%
MMHS	85%	83%	86%	86%
PHS	74%	71%	74%	79%
SHHS	86%	78%	83%	83%
SFHS	77%	74%	76%	82%
SHS	79%	77%	79%	85%

2013

Grade	All	10	11	12
Test	Fall	Fall	Fall	Fall
Nebo Ave	78%	76%	78%	80%
Landmark	36%	32%	35%	39%
MMHS	85%	83%	86%	85%
PHS	70%	68%	67%	77%
SHHS	82%	80%	81%	85%
SFHS	77%	76%	77%	79%
SHS	80%	75%	84%	82%

2011

Grade	All	10	11	12
Test	Fall	Fall	Fall	Fall
Nebo Ave	79%	76%	81%	78%
Landmark	50%		45%	53%
MMHS	86%	83%	89%	85%
PHS	78%	77%	81%	77%
SHHS	81%	78%	85%	81%
SFHS	74%	69%	78%	76%
SHS	75%	74%	77%	75%

2010

Grade	All	10	11	12
Test	Fall	Fall	Fall	Fall
Nebo Ave	77%	77%	73%	78%
Landmark	38%		34%	42%
MMHS	80%	79%	83%	79%
PHS	68%	71%	64%	74%
SHHS	79%	79%	77%	83%
SFHS	73%	73%	68%	78%
SHS	80%	80%	82%	78%

## Direct Writing Assessment

*Percent proficient*

	2010	2011	2012	2013	
DWA 5	Nebo	92%	94%	90%	95%
	State	84%	83%	83%	
DWA 8	Nebo	86%	84%	88%	89%
	State	80%	81%	82%	

	2007	2008	2009	
DWA 6	Nebo	68%	71%	66%
	State	76%	66%	66%
DWA 9	Nebo	88%	84%	80%
	State	84%	87%	85%

## Graduation Rates

### Federal Three-year Graduation

	2008	2009	2010*	2011	2012
Nebo District	91%	92%	87%	86%	87%
Maple Mountain High			94%	95%	93%
Payson High	93%	90%	87%	92%	90%
Salem Hills High		96%	94%	93%	95%
Spanish Fork High	97%	96%	90%	86%	89%
Springville High	95%	94%	93%	92%	90%
Landmark High	58%	71%	57%	86%	56%
State			75%	76%	78%

\*Calculation methods changed for 2010, 2011, 2012

## Enrollment

### Student enrollment by numbers

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>SWD</b>	3202	3320	3585	3925	3755	4110	4271	4133
<b>Hispanic</b>	1870	2157	2445	2653	2612	2609	3048	3087
<b>All students</b>	24572	25242	26640	27673	28302	29149	30402	31245

Figures are taken from Oct 1 counts, including preschool students

### Student enrollment by percent

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>SWD</b>	13%	14%	15%	16%	10%	14%	17%	17%
<b>Hispanic</b>	8%	9%	10%	11%	9%	9%	12%	13%
<b>% increase all</b>		2.7%	5.5%	3.9%	2.3%	3.0%	4.3%	2.8%

# Goals based on Needs Assessment Analysis

After reviewing the data above and gathering input from parents, teachers, administrators, board

## **1. Improve academic achievement in reading/language arts**

Although our student achievement in this area is generally acceptable by normal standards, our trend data is not adequate.

## **2. Improve academic achievement in mathematics**

We have maintained a consistent average well above the state average the past several years. However, we still have too many students who are not proficient in mathematics.

## **3. Invest in a High Quality Staff**

In order to meet the first two goals we need to invest in our teaching staff. We need our teachers to be proficient in their skills and successful helping students become proficient. As a part of this goal Nebo School District has created a tool to assist teachers in utilizing data to inform student instruction.

## **4. Improve Communication and Community Involvement**

We believe that along with improving our teaching staff that improving communication and involvement with our patrons is a key to student success.